

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,942	10/31/2003	Kazuo Okada	SHO-0019	8926
23353	7590 12/11/2006		EXAM	INER
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			DEODHAR, OMKAR A	
LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N			
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/697,942	OKADA, KAZUO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Omkar A. Deodhar	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value for the play within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABAND	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 O</u>	ctober 2003.				
•					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 June 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	nan (PTO-413)			
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/17/2004; () 12 1604	4) interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date			

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue (U.S. 5,752,881) in view of Hedrick et al (U.S. 6,135,884).

With respect to claim 1, Inoue discloses the following:

- A gaming machine comprising a variable display means for variably displaying a plurality of symbols and a display scaling means configured to scale up or down various symbols and images displayed to the gamer, (Col. 2. 1-13 & Col. 4. 59-62);
 - o Where it is noted that Inoue's device provides a variable display such that portions of the display can be enlarged for ease of reading.

Art Unit: 3714

 A front display means provided in front of the variable display means and configured to enable a player to see at least one of the symbols or images on the display means, (Col. 2. 16-35);

- o Where it is noted that Inoue's device teaches an outer reel that is rotatable behind a display window; where the outer reel has a peripheral face with different symbols including at least one transparent symbol, portions of which can be viewed when the transparent symbol is stopped in the display window.
- Internal winning combination determination means, (Col. 6. 35-40);
- A stop control means, (Col. 4. 8-12 & Col. 6. 8-14, 24-33, 35-37 & Col.
 7. 50-60);
 - Where it is noted that Inoue clearly discloses the use of a stop control means at various times during the game, as indicated in the prior art cited above.
- A game medium payout means associated with the stop control means. (Col. 6. 36-46);

With respect to claim 2, Inoue has disclosed a lens that may be used to enlarge a portion of the inner reel for ease of reading. Inoue however is silent regarding a gaming machine that uses a Fresnel or convex type lens for display scaling.

One of ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize the advantage of projecting larger symbols or images on a display such that the gamer can view symbols or images on a display with greater ease.

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Hedrick teaches a gaming machine with the following relevant features:

- Multiple displays, (Col. 3. 7-13);
- A projection mode LCD system that utilizes a Fresnel lens to project and expand an image onto the exterior of the gaming machine, (Col.
- 14. 42- 67 & Col. 15. 1-3 & Figure 8).
 - O Where it is noted that the use of a Fresnel lens indicates a display scaling means such that images or symbols may be enlarged, or scaled for ease of viewing.
- That a light source, (Figure 8, 802) is mounted near the bottom of a gaming machine such that the light beam path is sufficiently great to project an expanded image onto the exterior of the machine.
 - Thus, the light source mounting arrangement must be adjustable or variable such that the light beam path is sufficient enough to project the expanded image on the display. This is interpreted as an adjustable, or variable distance between the display scaling means and the front display, as in claim 3 of the applicant's disclosure.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's disclosure to have incorporated the features taught by Hedrick into the game of Inoue to provide a display scaling means with a variable distance between the scaling means and the front, or main display of the gaming

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/697,942

Art Unit: 3714

machine. Hence, the combination of Inoue/Hedrick fully discloses the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

LeMay et al (6,887,157) discusses and demonstrates in his figures the use of virtual zooming features.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omkar A. Deodhar whose telephone number is 571-272-1647. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on 571-272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Ronald Arneau PRIMARY EXAMINER 12/7/06

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.